1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California		•	
2	FRANK H. PACOE			
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General REBECCA M. HEINSTEIN, State Bar No. 173202 Deputy Attorney General			
4	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004			
5	Telephone: (415) 703-5604 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480			
6	Attorneys for Complainant			
7	,			
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING			
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
10		1		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No.	2008-316	
12	GAIL IRENE POOL SHIMOZONO, a,k.a. GAIL IRENE SHIMOZONO	ACCUS	ATION	
13	62 Rainier Avenue Livermore, California 94551	i ,		
14	Registered Nurse License No. 470599			
15	Respondent.			
16				
17	Ruth Ann Terry, M.P.H., R.N. ("Complainant") alleges:			
18	<u>PARTIES</u>			
19	1. Complainant brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the			
20	Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing ("Board"), Department of Consumer			
21	Affairs.			
22	2. On or about August 31, 1991, the Board issued Registered Nurse License			
23	Number 470599 to Gail Irene Pool Shimozono, a.k.a. Gail Irene Shimozono ("Respondent").			
24	The license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will			
25	expire on May 31, 2009, unless renewed.			
26	///			
27	111			
28	∦			

# **JURISDICTION**

- 3. Section 2750 of the Business and Professions Code ("Code") provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may discipline any licensee, including a licensee holding a temporary or an inactive license, for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with Code section 2750) of the Nursing Practice Act.
- 4. Code section 2764 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or to render a decision imposing discipline on the license. Under Code section 2811, subdivision (b), the Board may renew an expired license at any time within eight years after the expiration.
- 5. Code section 118, subdivision (b), provides, in pertinent part, that the suspension, expiration or forfeiture by operation of law of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

## STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 6. Section 2761(a) of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the board may take disciplinary action against a certified or licensed nurse or deny an application for a certificate or license for unprofessional conduct.
- 7. Code section 2762 states, in pertinent part that, in addition to other acts constituting unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter [the Nursing Practice Act], it is unprofessional conduct for a person licensed under this chapter to do any of the following:
- (a) Obtain or possess in violation of law, or prescribe, or except as directed by a licensed physician and surgeon, dentist, or podiatrist administer to himself or herself, or furnish or administer to another, any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code or any dangerous drug or dangerous device as defined in Section 4022.

(e) Falsify, or make grossly incorrect, grossly inconsistent, or unintelligible entries in any hospital, patient, or other record pertaining to the substances described in subdivision (a) of this section.

8. Section 4060 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that no person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, or veterinarian, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1.

- 9. Section 11173(a) of the Health and Safety Code states that "[n]o person shall obtain or attempt to obtain controlled substances, or procure or attempt to procure the administration of or prescription for controlled substances, (1) by fraud, deceit, misrepresentation, or subterfuge; or (2) by the concealment of a material fact."
- 10. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

#### 11. DRUGS

"Ativan" is a brand of lorazepam and is a Schedule IV controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (d)(13), and a dangerous drug under Code section 4022 in that under federal or state law it requires a prescription.

"Lortab" is a brand of hydrocodone and is a Schedule III controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11056(e)(4), and a dangerous drug under Code section 4022 in that under federal and state law it requires a prescription.

"Norco" is a brand of hydrocodone and is a Schedule III controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (e)(3), and a dangerous drug under Code section 4022 in that under federal or state law it requires a prescription.

28 | / / /

"Percocet" is a brand of oxycodone and is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(N), and a dangerous drug under Code section 4022 in that under federal or state law it requires a prescription.

# FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Obtaining, Possessing, and Self Administering a Controlled Substance)

- 12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2671(a) of the Code on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, as defined by section 2762(a) of the Code, in that between the approximate period of November 4, 2004 and January 25, 2005, while on duty as a registered nurse at ValleyCare Health System in Pleasanton, California, Respondent did the following:
- a. Respondent obtained Norco, Percocet, Lortab and Ativan, controlled substances, by fraud, deceit, misrepresentation, subterfuge, or by concealment of a material fact in violation of section 11173(a) of the Health and Safety Code, by taking the controlled substances from hospital supplies for her own use.
- b. Respondent possessed Norco, Percocet, Lortab and Ativan, controlled substances, in violation of section 4060 of the Code.
- c. Respondent self-administered unknown quantities of Norco, Percocet,

  Lortab and Ativan, controlled substances, without lawful authority.

# SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Falsify Patient and/or Hospital Records)

13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2761(a) of the Code on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, as defined by section 2762(e) of the Code, in that between the approximate period of November 4, 2004 and January 25, 2005, while on duty as a registered nurse at ValleyCare Health System in Pleasanton, California, she falsified, made grossly incorrect, grossly inconsistent, or unintelligible entries in hospital and/or patient records in the following respects:

27 | / / /

28 / / /

## Patient 1

a. On or about January 25, 2005, at 11:57 a.m., Respondent withdrew 2

Norco tablets from the Controlled Drug Record for this patient; however, Respondent failed to chart the administration or wastage of any portion of the Norco tablets or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug in any patient or hospital record.

## Patient 3

b. On or about January 20, 2005, at 11:32 a.m., Respondent withdrew 2

Percocet tablets from the Controlled Drug Record for this patient; however, Respondent failed to chart the administration or wastage of any portion of the Percocet tablets or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug in any patient or hospital record.

## Patient 7

c. On or about January 11, 2005, at 11:38 a.m., Respondent withdrew 2

Percocet tablets from the Controlled Drug Record for this patient; however, Respondent failed to chart the administration or wastage of any portion of the Percocet tablets or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug in any patient or hospital record.

# Patient 10

- d. On or about December 9, 2004, at 8:56 a.m., Respondent withdrew 1

  Lortab tablet from the Controlled Drug Record for this patient; however, Respondent failed to chart the administration or wastage or other otherwise account for the disposition of the drug in any patient or hospital record.
- e. On or about December 11, 2004, at 10:19 a.m., Respondent withdrew 1 Norco tablet from the Controlled Drug Record for this patient. Respondent charted the administration of 1 Norco tablet at 9:30 a.m. and 1 Norco tablet at 12:30 p.m.; however, Respondent only withdrew 1 Norco tablet on this date.

#### Patient 11

f. On or about December 9, 2004, at 1:33 p.m., Respondent withdrew 2

Percocet tablets from the Controlled Drug Record for this patient; however, Respondent failed to chart the administration or wastage of any portion of the Percocet tablets or otherwise account for

the disposition of the drug in any patient or hospital record.

## Patient 12

g. On or about December 3, 2004, at 11:48 a.m., Respondent withdrew 1 Norco tablet from the Controlled Drug Record for this patient; however, Respondent charted the administration of 1 Norco tablet to this patient at 10:00 a.m. and again at 11:45 a.m.

# Patient 15

h. On or about January 20, 2005, at 11:32 a.m., Respondent withdrew 2

Percocet tablets from the Controlled Drug Record for this patient; however, the physician's order was for Lortab. Further, Respondent failed to chart the administration or wastage of any portion of the Percocet tablets or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug in any patient or hospital record.

# Patient 16

- i. On or about November 29, 2004, at 10:40 a.m., Respondent withdrew 1 Norco tablet from the Controlled Drug Record for this patient; however, Respondent failed to chart the administration or wastage of any portion of the Norco tablet or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug in any patient or hospital record.
- j. On or about November 29, 2004, at 10:49 a.m., Respondent withdrew 1 Norco tablet from the Controlled Drug Record for this patient; however, Respondent failed to chart the administration or wastage of any portion of the Norco tablet or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug in any patient or hospital record.

#### Patient 17

k. On or about November 26, 2004, at 7:28 a.m., Respondent withdrew 2 mg of Ativan from the Controlled Drug Record for this patient. Respondent charted the administration of 0.1 mg of Ativan in the patient's Medication Administration Record; however, Respondent failed to chart the wastage or otherwise account for the disposition of the remaining 1.9 mg of Ativan in any patient or hospital record.

28 / / /

## Patient 19

1. On or about November 20, 2004, at 11:07 a.m., Respondent withdrew 1 Norco tablet from the Controlled Drug Record for this patient; however, Respondent failed to chart the administration or wastage of any portion of the Norco tablet or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug in any patient or hospital record.

## Patient 20

m. On or about November 18, 2004, at 8:56 a.m., Respondent withdrew 1 Norco tablet from the Controlled Drug Record for this patient. Respondent charted the administration of the Norco tablet in the patient's Medication Administration Record at 10:00 a.m.; however, when asked why she held the medication for more than an hour before administration, Respondent stated that she probably diverted the medication and did not actually administer it to the patient.

# Patient 21

n. On or about November 16, 2004, at 11:49 a.m., Respondent withdrew 1 Norco tablet from the Controlled Drug Record for this patient; however, Respondent failed to chart the administration or wastage of any portion of the Norco tablet or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug in any patient or hospital record.

## Patient 22

On or about November 4, 2004, at 9:43 a.m., Respondent withdrew 1

Norco tablet from the Controlled Drug Record for this patient; however, Respondent failed to chart the administration or wastage of any portion of the Norco tablet or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug in any patient or hospital record.

### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Registered Nursing issue a decision:

1. Revoking or suspending Registered Nurse License Number 470599, issued to Gail Irene Pool Shimozono, a.k.a. Gail Irene Shimozono;

28 | / / /

- 11	<b>)</b>			
1	2. Ordering Gail Irene Pool Shimozono, a.k.a. Gail Irene Shimozono to pay			
2	the Board of Registered Nursing the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this			
3	case, pursuant to Code section 125.3; and,			
4	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.			
5				
6	DATED: 5 12108			
7				
8	RITIO MIT			
9	RUTH ANN TERRY, M.P.H., R.N. Executive Officer			
10	Board of Registered Nursing Department of Consumer Affairs			
11	State of California Complainant			
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				